

Discover Generics

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents





Reply:

R.D. Nawfel and G.S. Young

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017, 38 (8) E56 doi: https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5242 http://www.ajnr.org/content/38/8/E56

This information is current as of June 24, 2025.

REPLY:

We would like to thank Dr. Ikuta et al for their interest in our paper and comments on the data underlying Fig 4, which illustrates the variation in peak skin dose with patient head size.¹

In our study, the effective diameter of adults undergoing head CT/CTA ranged from 14.9–18.7 cm. As Ikuta et al note, prior studies have demonstrated an exponential relationship between patient size and patient dose. McCollough et al² demonstrated this in a study showing substantially more variation in abdomen size (20–80 cm) than the head size variation in our study. Anam et al³ reported that the normalized dose/100 mAs over a range of head sizes was only approximately 4 mGy. As such, we agree with Ikuta et al that the small range of head sizes encountered in our study, as compared with variation in abdominal girth, likely accounts for the absence of an exponential relationship between head size and dose in our data.

We believe that our use of effective diameter to represent head size, following the method of AAPM Reports 204 and 220, is appropriate because body mass index and weight are not necessarily well correlated with head size. AAPM Report 220 reports only a slight change in size-specific dose estimate when using water equivalent diameter $(D_{\rm w})$ as compared with effective diameter as a conversion factor. Hence, we doubt that using $D_{\rm w}$ as a surrogate for head size would have changed our results significantly or demonstrated an exponential relationship between head size and peak skin dose.

To the contrary, our data provide support for the recommendation by Huda et al⁶ that a standard head size is appropriate for adult dose assessment because of the minimal variation in adult patient dose related to head size.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5242

REFERENCES

- Nawfel RD, Young GS. Measured head CT/CTA skin dose and intensive care unit patient cumulative exposure. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2017;38:455–61 CrossRef Medline
- McCollough CH, Leng S, Yu L, et al. CT dose index and patient dose: they are not the same thing. Radiology 2011;259:311–16 CrossRef Medline
- Anam C, Haryanto F, Widita R, et al. Automated calculation of waterequivalent diameter (DW) based on AAPM Task Group 220. J Appl Clin Med Phys 2016;17:320–33 CrossRef Medline
- Boone JM, Strauss KJ, Cody DD, et al. Size-Specific Dose Estimates (SSDE) in Pediatric and Adult Body CT Examinations: Report of AAPM Task Group 204. College Park, Maryland: American Association of Physicists in Medicine; 2011
- McCollough C, Bakalyar DM, Bostani M, et al. Use of Water Equivalent Diameter for Calculating Patient Size and Size-Specific Dose Estimates (SSDE) in CT: The Report of AAPM Task Group 220. College Park, Maryland: American Association of Physicists in Medicine; 2014
- Huda W, Lieberman KA, Chang J, et al. Patient size and x-ray technique factors in head computed tomography examinations, I: radiation doses. Med Phys 2004;31:588–94 CrossRef Medline

®R.D. Nawfel

Department of Radiology Brigham and Women's Hospital Boston, Massachusetts Department of Radiology Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts

⊕G.S. Young

Department of Radiology Brigham and Women's Hospital Boston, Massachusetts Department of Radiology Dana Farber Cancer Institute Boston, Massachusetts Department of Radiology Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts