

Discover Generics

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents





Intracranial Stent Restenosis Diagnosed on Routine Duplex Follow-Up Investigation

K. Gröschel, S. Schnaudigel, J.H. Buhk, M. Knauth and A. Kastrup

This information is current as of June 30, 2025.


AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2008, 29 (8) e65 doi: https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A1080 http://www.ajnr.org/content/29/8/e65

Intracranial Stent Restenosis Diagnosed on Routine Duplex Follow-Up Investigation

We read with great interest the article of Turk et al,¹ in which the authors describe their experience with restenoses after intracranial stent placement. In this article, most of the restenoses were diagnosed by means of conventional intra-arterial digital subtraction angiography (DSA) on routine follow-up investigations. Although DSA is currently the gold standard for detecting intracranial adjacent or in-stent restenosis (ISR), we would like to point out that less-invasive techniques for follow-up investigations should be considered, especially in patients with an increased risk for ISR, such as young and female patients.¹ With respect to these techniques, we would like to report the following case.

A 48-year-old currently asymptomatic woman was seen in our institutional neurovascular clinic for a routine duplex follow-up investigation. Three months before presentation, a symptomatic intracranial stenosis of the right middle cerebral artery had been treated with an intracranial stent. The indication for the stent placement had been recurrent symptoms despite dual antiplatelet therapy (aspirin and clopidogrel).


Transcranial duplex sonography revealed a blunted Doppler signal intensity with flattened systolic flow acceleration at the distal end of the stent (Fig 1*A*). CT angiography (Fig 1*B*) corroborated this finding showing a compromised arterial filling distal to the stent but failed to depict the stent lumen. Subsequent DSA (Fig 1*C*) documented bilateral stenoses on both sides of the stent.



Patients with intracranial stents require close follow-up. If the anatomy of the temporal bone window allows sufficient transcranial insonation, noninvasive Doppler or duplex sonography should be considered as the primary technique to screen for ISR. However, in some cases, there will still be a need to confirm abnormal findings with additional imaging techniques. Whether traditional CT angiography, MR angiography, or flat-panel-detector angiographic CT^4 is sufficient in this scenario is still under debate.

References

- Turk AS, Levy EI, Albuquerque FC, et al. Influence of patient age and stenosis location on Wingspan in-stent restenosis. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2008;29:23– 27. Epub 2007 Nov 7
- Feldmann E, Wilterdink JL, Kosinski A, et al. The Stroke Outcomes and Neuroimaging of Intracranial Atherosclerosis (SONIA) trial. Neurology 2007;68:2099–106
- 3. Demchuk AM, Burgin WS, Christou I, et al. Thrombolysis in brain ischemia (TIBI) transcranial Doppler flow grades predict clinical severity, early recovery, and mortality in patients treated with intravenous tissue plasminogen activator. *Stroke* 2001;32:89–93



4. Benndorf G, Strother CM, Claus B, et al. Angiographic CT in cerebrovascular stenting. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2005;26:1813–18
K. Gröschel
S. Schnaudigel
J.H. Buhk
M. Knauth
A. Kastrup
University of Göttingen
Göttingen, Germany

DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A1080

Fig 1. *A*, Transcranial duplex sonography of the right middle cerebral artery showing compromised flow velocities distal to stent in a depth of 48 mm. *B*, CT angiography demonstrates a reduced filling at the distal part of the stent. *C*, Finally, intra-arterial digital subtraction angiography reveals bilateral restenoses on both sides of the stent (*black arrows*).