

Get Clarity On Generics

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents





This information is current as of August 11, 2025.

Reply:

J. Ramalho, R.C. Semelka, M. Ramalho, R.H. Nunes, M. AlObaidy and M. Castillo

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2016, 37 (5) E42 doi: https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4744 http://www.ajnr.org/content/37/5/E42

We thank Dr Kanda and colleagues for their interest in our article "Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agent Accumulation and Toxicity: An Update" and acknowledge them for their contributions to the awareness of gadolinium accumulation in the brain. Below, we will attempt to address their comments and concerns.

At the time our article was written, the study by Stojanov et al² reported that gadobutrol (Gadavist; Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany) caused T1 hyperintensity in the dentate nucleus. Their findings were unexpected and highlighted the need for evaluation of each gadolinium-based contrast agent (GBCA). In fact, after our article was submitted to the American Journal of Neuroradiology, Radbruch et al³ put forward new information regarding gadobutrol deposition in the dentate nucleus. By replicating the work of Stojanov et al, they concluded that no signal-intensity increase was seen with this agent, explaining the lack of it in the image provided by Stojanov et al. More recently, Cao et al⁴ found that unenhanced high T1 signal hyperintensity was observed in the dentate nucleus after multiple administrations of gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist; Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Wayne, New Jersey) but not after multiple administrations of gadobutrol, corroborating the findings of Radbruch et al. As stated in our article, the more stable macrocyclic GBCAs such as gadoteridol (ProHance; Bracco Diagnostics, Princeton, New Jersey) and gadoterate meglumine (Dotarem; Guerbet, Aulnaysous-Bois, France) are not associated with substantial MR imaging changes, supporting the idea that gadolinium accumulation differs according to the stability of the agent used.^{5,6}

Although the animal study by Jost et al⁷ demonstrated a lack of association between gadobutrol (Gadavist) and T1 dentate nucleus hyperintensity, we recommend caution with extrapolating data from animal studies to humans. In that study, gadopentetate dimeglumine injection led to a moderately elevated dentate nucleus-to-pons signal-intensity ratio, not statistically significant, which differs from findings in human studies.⁴⁻⁶ Moreover, a more recent animal study performed by Robert et al⁸ showed that repeated administrations of that agent were associated with progressive and significant T1 hyperintensity in the dentate nucleus.

We remind our readers that no brain MR imaging changes have been noted in human studies after repeated administrations of the more stable GBCAs gadoteridol, gadoterate meglumine, and gadobutrol. Despite the work of Robert et al, 8,9 more human studies are needed to definitively exclude deposition of these agents in humans.

Although Dr Kanda claims that his article¹⁰ was submitted before the one by McDonald et al,¹¹ the latter was accepted and published first. Like most investigators, we do not have access to articles not yet published. Nevertheless, our article correctly quotes the number of patients studied, the GBCAs given, and the neural structures evaluated in both articles.

Last, we apologize for misciting the statement related to gadolinium deposits in the endothelial wall. As pointed out by Dr. Kanda and colleagues, this sentence is found in the article by McDonald et al. 11 and not the article by Kanda et al. 10

REFERENCES

- Ramalho J, Semelka RC, Ramalho M, et al. Gadolinium-based contrast agent accumulation and toxicity: an update. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2015 Dec 10. [Epub ahead of print] CrossRef Medline
- Stojanov DA, Aracki-Trenkic A, Vojinovic S, et al. Increasing signal intensity within the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus on unenhanced T1W magnetic resonance images in patients with relapsingremitting multiple sclerosis: correlation with cumulative dose of a macrocyclic gadolinium-based contrast agent, gadobutrol. Eur Radiol 2016;26:807–15 CrossRef Medline
- Radbruch A, Weberling LD, Kieslich PJ, et al. High-signal intensity in the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus on unenhanced T1-weighted images: evaluation of the macrocyclic gadolinium-based contrast agent gadobutrol. *Invest Radiol* 2015;50:805–10 CrossRef Medline
- Cao Y, Huang DQ, Shih G, et al. Signal change in the dentate nucleus on T1-weighted MR images after multiple administrations of gadopentetate dimeglumine versus gadobutrol. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2016;206:414–19 CrossRef Medline
- Kanda T, Osawa M, Oba H, et al. High signal intensity in dentate nucleus on unenhanced T1-weighted MR images: association with linear versus macrocyclic gadolinium chelate administration. Radiology 2015;275:803

 –09 CrossRef Medline
- Radbruch A, Weberling LD, Kieslich PJ, et al. Gadolinium retention in the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus is dependent on the class of contrast agent. Radiology 2015;275:783–91 CrossRef Medline
- Jost G, Lenhard DC, Sieber MA, et al. Signal increase on unenhanced T1-weighted images in the rat brain after repeated, extended doses of gadolinium-based contrast agents. *Invest Radiol* 2016;51:83–89 CrossRef Medline
- Robert P, Violas X, Grand S, et al. Linear gadolinium-based contrast agents are associated with brain gadolinium retention in healthy rats. Invest Radiol 2016;51:73–82 CrossRef Medline
- Robert P, Lehericy S, Grand S, et al. T1-weighted hypersignal in the deep cerebellar nuclei after repeated administrations of gadolinium-based contrast agents in healthy rats: difference between linear and macrocyclic agents. *Invest Radiol* 2015;50:473–80 CrossRef Medline
- Kanda T, Fukusato T, Matsuda M, et al. Gadolinium-based contrast agent accumulates in the brain even in subjects without severe renal dysfunction: evaluation of autopsy brain specimens with inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy. Radiology 2015;276: 228-32 CrossRef Medline
- McDonald RJ, McDonald JS, Kallmes DF, et al. Intracranial gadolinium deposition after contrast-enhanced MR imaging. Radiology 2015;275:772–82 CrossRef Medline

I. Ramalho

University of North Carolina Hospital Chapel Hill, North Carolina Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Central

Lisbon, Portugal R.C. Semelka

University of North Carolina Hospital Chapel Hill, North Carolina

4 Pamalha

University of North Carolina Hospital Chapel Hill, North Carolina Hospital Garcia de Orta Almada, Portugal

R.H. Nunes

University of North Carolina Hospital Chapel Hill, North Carolina Santa Casa de Misericórdia de São Paulo São Paulo, Brazil

M. AlObaidy

University of North Carolina Hospital Chapel Hill, North Carolina King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

M. Castillo

University of North Carolina Hospital Chapel Hill, North Carolina

http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4744